

HMRC Enquiries: Breaking Records!

If you run a business, the law requires you to keep full records of your income and expenditure. In the case of limited companies, there is specific legislation concerning the nature of the records to be kept, but all businesses must keep sufficient records to enable them to prepare accurate accounts and tax computations.

There are penalties for failure to comply with these rules, but a recent case (Mirsamadi v HMRC [2015] UKFTT 58 (TC)) highlights the other consequences of poor record keeping, which are often more serious than mere fines imposed by the legislation.

The case centred on Mr Mirsamadi's 2007/08 self-assessment return. This showed a small amount of property income, but apparently HMRC had information about other business activities, including other property lettings and the operation of food takeaways.

Mr Mirsamadi had not kept proper records of his business activities – he admitted this – and so HMRC embarked on an exercise to calculate the likely level of undeclared income.

HMRC's methods

There are two main ways in which HMRC will do this. They can take the 'business economics' approach, whereby industry norms of profit ratios are applied to the known facts. A typical example in a retail business is to look at stock purchased and to apply a mark-up derived from the taxpayer's own price lists, or from typical profit margins for the sector concerned. This is particularly effective when takings have been suppressed but the purchases have been correctly recorded. Some of the ratios used can be rather exotic – a colleague of mine when I was a tax inspector claimed to have increased the taxable profits of a greengrocer based upon the number of brown paper bags he had purchased, which were far more than was needed to fill with the quantity of fruit and vegetables he claimed to have sold!

In Mr Mirsamadi's case (possibly because the records were so poor that a business economic approach could not be used), HMRC adopted the other approach, based on looking at the taxpayer's personal expenditure.



The details of this are usually complex, but the proposition is simple: work out the increase in the taxpayer's assets over a period, and his expenditure on everyday living during the same period. If there is a lack of sufficient declared drawings from the business to cover these costs, the assumption is made that the shortfall represents undeclared income.

Unrecorded takings?

Tax inspectors look at business records with a view to 'breaking' them – that is, demonstrating that the records cannot be correct either because they are internally contradictory or because large estimates have been needed in order to balance the books. For example, if there is more money in the business bank account than can be accounted for using the known sales, the taxpayer may have assumed he must have introduced cash into the business. Unless he can prove this, HMRC will take the view that the extra money in the bank is unrecorded takings.

'Breaking the records' is fundamental to the more serious type of tax enquiry. Once HMRC have demonstrated that the records cannot be relied on to provide an accurate figure for business profits, HMRC can substitute their own figures, based either on 'business economics' or the 'means test' approach used in the case of Mr Mirsamadi. Provided their methods and results are 'reasonable' the tribunal is likely to uphold their findings, as it did in the case of Mr Mirsamadi.



Practical Tip:

It makes sense to keep proper records in any event, in order to know how your business is doing; but if you fail to do so, and are subjected to a tax enquiry, you may be handing a blank cheque to the taxman.

IN THIS ISSUE

FEATURE ARTICLE

HMRC Enquiries:
Breaking Records!

- Payments On Account
- Transfer of Assets on Separation
- Pay A Small Salary To Preserve State Pension Entitlement

We are a pro-active financial and taxation consultancy delivering excellent customer service to individuals and owner-managed businesses at outstanding value. We are forward-looking in our approach, focusing on the business success of our clients rather than focusing solely on compliance.

Enterprise Management Accountancy

Suite 1, 56 Wilbury Way, Hitchin,
Hertfordshire SG5 0TP

Please contact Emma Austin

info@enterprise-tax.co.uk • www.enterprise-tax.co.uk





Personal Tax

Payments On Account

If your total net tax liability for the year is less than £1,000, or if at least 80% of the total tax due for the year is covered by tax deducted at source, then you do not need to make payments on account for the following tax year.

The second test is sometimes missed by HMRC and people are asked to make payments on account unnecessarily, resulting in a loss of the use of the money unnecessarily early.

Case Study:

Robert calculates his tax liability at £7,000 for the year 2013/14, of which £1,200 relates to investment income payable under self-assessment. The remainder (£5,800) was deducted under PAYE.

Because his net tax liability is over the £1,000 limit, he assumes he has to make payments on account for the following year and hence enters these figures onto his Tax Return.

However, as over 80% of his total tax liability is deducted at source under PAYE, he meets the second test and does not need to make payments on account. The tax on his investment income is payable in full by 31 January following the end of the tax year. By being aware of this test, he avoids making payments on account and benefits from the associated cash-flow advantage.



Property Tax

Transfer of Assets on Separation

The CGT rules on gifts between spouses/civil partners as being at 'no gain/no loss' apply until the end of the tax year of separation. Ideally therefore, the transfer of any jointly held assets should be made some time before the end of the tax year in which separation took place in order to be fully exempt. The asset (which could include the main PPR) will gain an 'uplift' in value, which may be beneficial should the property be subsequently sold but without the advantage of the full PPR relief.

Should the transfer take place after the end of the tax year in which separation occurs, but before the granting of the Decree Absolute, then the parties are treated as 'connected parties'; as such, the disposal is automatically treated as being at market value whatever the actual amount paid.

Where a main residence and another property are owned jointly, there will be tax advantages should the leaving spouse leave the former matrimonial home on separation and go to live in the second property. Appropriate transfers of both properties in the tax year of separation, together with an election by each that the property they are occupying is their main residence, should ensure that gains on both properties are exempt.

Case Study:

Adam and Eve separate in May 2014. They jointly own a Buy To Let property. Adam transfers the property to Eve in January 2015.

The property originally cost £200,000 with costs of acquisition being £2,500. Legal costs on transfer were £2,500.

Adam's deemed disposal proceeds are:

Cost (50% share)	£100,000
Acquisition costs (50% share)	£1,250
Legal costs	£2,500
Total deemed proceeds	£103,750

Eve's revised base cost will therefore be her share (**£101,250**)
plus **£103,750 = £205,000**.



Business Tax

Pay A Small Salary To Preserve State Pension Entitlement

Entitlement to the state pension and contributory benefits is contingent on having paid sufficient National Insurance contributions. Fortunately it is possible to achieve this for zero cost.

Persons with earnings between the lower earnings limit (£111 per week for 2014/15) and the primary earnings threshold (£153 per week for 2014/15) are treated as paying NICs at a zero rate. These notional contributions preserve entitlement to the state pension and contributory benefits.

For 2014/15 the secondary threshold is set at £153 per week. This is the same level as the primary threshold.

For 2014/15 the salary should be set at between £111 per week and £153 per week in order to preserve state pension entitlement for zero NIC cost. This equates to an annual salary of between £5,772 and £7,956. As this is below the personal allowance, providing the person does not have a second job to which the personal allowance has been allocated, no PAYE tax should be due either.

For 2014/15 onwards, employers are entitled to an allowance to set against their employer's Class 1 liability. The allowance is set at £2,000 for 2014/15. See Tip 66 for the impact of this allowance on the optimal level of salary.

Under real time information (RTI), details of the amount paid to the employee must be reported to HMRC electronically. HMRC's free Basic PAYE Tools software package can be used for this purpose.

Case Study:

Ian and Caroline are directors of their family company. To preserve entitlement to the state pension and contributory benefits they decide to pay themselves a salary of £7,200 (£600 per month).

As this is between the lower earnings limit (£111 per week for 2014/15) and the secondary earnings threshold (£153 per week for 2014/15) they get the benefit of notional contributions but do not have to pay any actual employee or employer contributions.

However, under RTI they need to make a submission to HMRC each time that they make a payment. They can use HMRC's free software package, Basic PAYE Tools, for this purpose.

Disclaimer

This newsletter is produced for general guidance only, and professional advice should be sought before any decision is made. Individual circumstances can vary and therefore no responsibility can be accepted by the contributors or the publisher, for any action taken, or any decision made to refrain from action, by any readers of this newsletter. All rights reserved. No part of this newsletter may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means. To the fullest extent permitted by law, the contributors do not accept liability for any direct, indirect, special, consequential or other losses or damages of whatsoever kind arising from using this newsletter.